



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,633	10/17/2003	Tatsuji Higuchi	981491A	8920
38834	7590	07/11/2008	EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			HENN, TIMOTHY J	
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW				
SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments filed 18 June 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that since the two circuit boards of Takagi are connected with an adhesive 9, they are not "separately located" as claimed. In response, the examiner notes that the claims as written do not require completely separated boards (i.e. no connection between the two boards). As shown in Figure 11 of Takagi, the boards 30 and 31 are clearly located in separate locations (i.e. board 31 is located below board 30; the boards do not share the same space and therefore cannot be considered to be located at the same position). Therefore, even though boards 30 and 31 of Takagi are connected to each other via an adhesive, they are still separately located as claimed.
2. Applicant further argues that the structure of Takagi cannot achieve the improvement of claim 1 since noise generated in one circuit board would adversely affect the other. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., noise isolation between the first and second boards) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

### ***Conclusion***

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Henn whose telephone number is (571)272-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 11-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on (571) 272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy J Henn/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622